

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,410	11/26/2003	Hao Cheng	244915US8	6591
22850 7590 10/17/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			KANG, SUK JIN	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2619	
. (
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	FLECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/721,410	CHENG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Suk Jin Kang	2619

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):			
(1) Suk Jin Kang.	(3) <u>Chau Nguyen</u> .			
(2) Zachary Stern.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 10 October 2007.	•			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Liebowitz et al. (US Pat # 5,812,545)</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the difference between the reference (Liebowitz) and claim 1. It appears that Liebowitz fails to teach the last step of claim 1.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.